



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,610 09/29/2003		Sung-wook Kang	1349.1213	8528	
21171	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			GIBBS, HEATHER D		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005	2627			

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/671,61	0	KANG ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Heather D	. Gibbs	2627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. Ty period will apply and will by statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be timulation to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of the p	☑ This action is not allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		nerits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are we Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,7-9 and 32-37 is/are reject Claim(s) 5,6 and 10-31 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction from Papers The specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the specification is objected to be specification.	vithdrawn from cor ed. :o. n and/or election re			•		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on 29 September 20 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	003 is/are: a)☐ and to the drawing(s) becorrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/671,610

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the motor to move the belt, and plurality of pulleys (Claims 5-6) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance:

Application/Control Number: 10/671,610 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-4,7-9,35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Egashira et al (US 5,567,068).

Regarding claim 1, which is representative of claims 35-37, Egashira teaches a combined flat bed scanner/printer machine comprising: a moving body that is movable; a scan unit, moved by the moving body to scan a first paper; and a print unit, moved by the moving body to print on a second paper (4:12-14; 60-61; 5:5-8).

For claim 4, Egashira teaches wherein the scan unit and the print unit are mounted on the moving body (Fig 3).

For claim 7, Egashira teaches a connecting unit; wherein the print unit and the scan unit are selectively connected to each other by the connecting unit (Fig 3).

For claim 8, Egashira teaches a connecting unit; wherein the print unit is mounted on the moving body, and the scan unit is selectively mounted on the moving body by the connecting unit to scan the first paper (Fig 3).

For claim 9, Egashira teaches wherein the moving body does not move the scan unit during printing (5:1-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/671,610 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egashira et al (US 5,567,068) in view of Ahn (US 6,519,047).

Egashira et al discloses the combined flat bed scanner/printer machine as discussed above.

Egashira does not disclose expressly wherein the print unit is an ink jet head or wherein scanning and printing occur simultaneously.

Ahn discloses wherein the print unit is an ink jet head and wherein scanning and printing occur simultaneously (5:57-67; 6:30-45).

Egashira & Ahn are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Ahn with Egashira.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to simply the structure of Egashira.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ahn with Egashira to obtain the invention as specified in claims 2-3.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,610

Art Unit: 2627

6. Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egashira et al (US 5,567,068) in view of Lopez et al (US 6,414,760).

Egashira discloses the combined flat bed scanner/printer machine as discussed above.

Egashira does not disclose expressly wherein the scan unit comprised a line scanner having a plurality of image sensors, which comprise charge-coupled devices or contact image sensors.

Lopez discloses wherein the scan unit comprised a line scanner having a plurality of image sensors, which comprise charge-coupled devices or contact image sensors (2:25-63).

Egashira & Lopez are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Lopez with Egashira.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to have a choice of either CCD or CIS sensors.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Lopez with Egashira to obtain the invention as specified in claims 32-34.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,610 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 10-11,15-34 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: There is no evidence to show where the scan unit is not mounted on the moving body.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hiroshi (JP 08-324804) Paper Sheet Processor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather D. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-7404. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu 8AM-7PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,610

Art Unit: 2627

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Heather D Gibbs

Examiner

Art Unit 2627

hdg

COMMO OCIES